JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	RM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS MIDLAND FUNDING LLC					
Samantha Duval, f/k/a Samantha Rissmiller				MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)						
Joseph M. Adams, Esq. Law Office of Joseph M. 200 Highpoint Dr, Ste 21		4 Tel: 215-996-9	977							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCII	PAL PARTIES			7.0	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3 ■ 3			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1	F DEF		and One Box fo	PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 0	 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T 		□ 4	□ 4	
 2 U.S. Government Defendant 	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇	2 Incorporated and I of Business In .		5	5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) ORTS	1 12	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	р	ANKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATIF	TES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		opeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C			
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 W	ithdrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tai		.C	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 69	00 Other	28	3 USC 157	3729(a ☐ 400 State R		nment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				ERTY RIGHTS	🗖 410 Antitru	st		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	- 1		☐ 820 Cc		☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme		ing	
☐ 151 Nedicare Act	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	ı		□ 840 Tr		☐ 460 Deporta	ation		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	45.00	LABOR	SOCI	AL SECURITY	☐ 470 Rackete	eer Influe t Organiz		
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY 🗆 71		☐ 861 H	A (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consum	ner Credi		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act 20 Labor/Management		ack Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/S ☐ 850 Securit		modities/	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	12	Relations		SID Title XVI	Exchar	nge		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		10 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RS	SI (405(g))	■ 890 Other S ■ 891 Agricul			
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	L /3	I Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 893 Enviror			
	Medical Malpractice			00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDT	DIA MIN CHIEC	□ 895 Freedon	m of Info	rmation	
REAL PROPERTY	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	NS D 79	11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		IRAL TAX SUITS	Act 896 Arbitra	ation		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		meome security Act		Defendant)	☐ 899 Admini	istrative I		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	3			S—Third Party 5 USC 7609		view or A Decision	Appeal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			20	030 7009	☐ 950 Constit			
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	1		State St	tatutes		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration						
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i.	in One Box Only) moved from	Confinement Remanded from	☐ 4 Reir	nstated or	erred from	☐ 6 Multidist		Multid		
Proceeding Sta	ate Court	Appellate Court		(specify)		Litigation Transfer	1 -	Litigati Direct		
	L47 U.S.C. Section	atute under which you a n 227, et seq.	re Illing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes untess	aiversuy).				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		ause: autodialer to Plainti	ff's cell	phone in violation of	the Tele	phone Consum	er Protection	Act		
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	-	EMAND S		CHECK YES only			aint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2					JURY DEMAND	: 🔀 Yes		ס	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):									
IF ANY		JUDGE	TAPMEY	OF #ECORD	DOC	KET NUMBER _				
DATE 02/13/2017		Garage A	THE P							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Ü									
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

F/K/A SAMANTHA RISSMILLER	C : C	: CIVIL ACTION					
v.	:						
MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC, et al.	: : :	NO.					
In accordance with the Civil Justice plaintiff shall complete a Case Manafiling the complaint and serve a copy side of this form.) In the event that designation, that defendant shall, with plaintiff and all other parties, a Case which that defendant believes the case.	agement Track Designation Form on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of t t a defendant does not agree with its first appearance, submit to the e Management Track Designation	in all civil cases at the the plan set forth on the the the plaintiff regardint clerk of court and serve	ime of reverse og said on the				
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOW	TNG CASE MANAGEMENT T	RACKS:					
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting	g review of a decision of the Secr	etary of Health					
and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving clain exposure to asbestos.	ns for personal injury or property of	lamage from	()				
(e) Special Management - Cases that commonly referred to as complete the court. (See reverse side of the management cases.)	t do not fall into tracks (a) through a and that need special or intense r is form for a detailed explanation	nanagement by	()				
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.							
February 13, 2017 Date	Attorney-at-law	Joseph M. Adams, Esc Attorney for Plai					
215-996-9977 Telephone	215-996-9111 FAX Number	josephmadamsesq@verizon.net E-Mail Address					

SAMANTHA DUVAL

Case 2:17-cv-00666-MSG Document 1 Filed 02/13/17 Page 3 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: c/o Law Office of Joseph M. Adams, 200 Highpoint Drive, Suite 211A, Chalfont, PA 18914 Address of Defendant: 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, California 92108 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes□ (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Judge Case Number: _ Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year Yes terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes□ CIVIL: (Place / in one category only) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 2. D FELA 3.

Assault, Defamation 3.

Jones Act-Personal Injury 4.

Marine Personal Injury 4.

Antitrust 5.

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. D Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6.

Labor-Management Relations 7. D Products Liability 7. Civil Rights 8.

Products Liability — Asbestos 8.

Habeas Corpus 9.

All other Diversity Cases 9.

Securities Act(s) Cases (Please specify) _ 10. □ Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. Section 227, et seq ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: Į Joseph M. Adams, Esq. □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 58430 DATE: February 13, 2017 Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. **DATE:** February 13, 2017 Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SAMANTHA DUVAL, f/k/a SAMANTHA RISSMILLER, :

an individual,

Plaintiff, CIVIL ACTION

v. : No.:

MIDLAND FUNDING LLC, :

a foreign limited liability company,

and MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC.,

a foreign for-profit corporation,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, SAMANTHA DUVAL, f/k/a SAMANTHA RISSMILLER, (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned counsel, and hereby sues Defendants, MIDLAND FUNDING LLC (hereinafter, "MF") and MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (hereinafter, "MCM") (hereinafter collectively, "Defendants"). In support thereof, Plaintiff states:

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 United States Code, Section 227 (hereinafter, the "TCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 47 United States Code, Section 227(b)(3) and
 United States Code, Section 1337.
- 2. Venue in this District is proper because Defendants transact business in this District and the conduct complained of occurred in this District.

- 3. At all material times herein, Plaintiff is an individual residing in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.
- 4. At all material times herein, MF is a foreign limited liability company existing under the laws of the state of Delaware and engaged in business in Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, California 92108, that, itself and through its subsidiaries, regularly purchases and collects defaulted consumer debts from residents in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 5. At all material times herein, MCM is a foreign for-profit corporation existing under the laws of the state of Kansas and engaged in business in Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, California 92108, that, itself and through its subsidiaries, regularly collects defaulted consumer debts owed or asserted to be owed to MF from residents in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. At all material times herein, Defendants act themselves or through their agents, employees, officers, members, directors, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, third-party vendors, and insurers.
- 7. Upon information and belief, MF purchased debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff after such debt entered default.
 - 8. Upon information and belief, MF assigned Plaintiff's debt to MCM for collection.
- 9. At all material times herein, MCM acted on MF's behalf, and with MF's knowledge, consent, and approval when MCM made telephone calls to Plaintiff in its attempts to collect the debt.
- 10. All necessary conditions precedent to the filing of this action occurred or Defendants waived.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. MCM made telephone calls, as more specifically alleged below, to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number 267.XXX.8335 using an automatic telephone dialing system (hereinafter, "ATDS"), a predictive telephone dialing system (hereinafter, "PTDS"), or an artificial or pre-recorded voice (hereinafter, "APV").
- 12. At all material times herein, Plaintiff is the owner, regular user, and possessor of a Cellular Telephone with assigned number 267.XXX.8335 (hereinafter, "Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone").
- 13. At no time herein did Defendants possess prior express consent to call Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using an ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV.
- 14. If Defendants contend they possessed consent to call Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone, Plaintiff revoked any consent the moment she answered one of Defendants' phone calls, orally revoked such consent, and demanded Defendants cease calling Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone.
- 15. Additionally, if Defendants contend they made the below-referenced phone calls for "informational purposes only," they nevertheless lacked the required prior express written consent necessary to place such informational calls to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using an ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV.
- 16. MCM made each call to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone on MF's behalf, and with MF's consent, knowledge, and approval.
- 17. Despite lacking prior express consent, from 2012 through 2013, Defendants made approximately six (6) calls every day to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using an ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV.
- 18. Plaintiff answered *at least* one of Defendants' immediately-aforementioned telephone calls within the first week of Defendant's calls, orally revoked any prior express consent

Defendants possessed to call Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using an ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV, and demanded Defendants cease calling Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone.

- 19. As of September 1, 2016, Plaintiff retained Leavengood, Dauval, Boyle & Meyer, P.A. and the Law Office of Joseph M. Adams (hereinafter collectively "Undersigned Counsel") for representation with respect to her TCPA claims against Defendants.
- 20. Plaintiff retained Undersigned Counsel for the purpose of pursuing this matter against Defendants, and Plaintiff is obligated to pay her attorneys a reasonable fee for their services.
- 21. Plaintiff has not been able, due to both professional and/or personal commitments, as well as the continued and increasing stress associated with the continued barrage of autodialed telephone calls, to record the specifics on each and every call made to Plaintiff by Defendants. Plaintiff asserts, however, that the above-referenced calls are but a subset and general description of the total calls she is aware of and that each caused emotional distress, anxiety, and inability to use her Cellular Telephone when Defendants made such calls, all made in violation of the TCPA. Moreover, Defendants are in the best position to determine and ascertain the number and methodology of calls made to Plaintiff.
- 22. United States Code, Title 47, Section 227(b)(3) provides for the award of \$500.00 or actual damages, whichever is greater, for each telephone call made to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using any ATDS, PTDS, or APV to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone in violation of the TCPA or the regulations prescribed thereunder.
- 23. Additionally, the TCPA, Section 227(b)(3) allows the trial court to increase the damages up to three times, or \$1,500.00, for each telephone call made to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using any ATDS, PTDS, or APV to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone in willful or knowing violation of the TCPA or the regulations prescribed thereunder.

COUNT ONE: TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT-VIOLATION OF 47 UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 227(b)(1)(A)

Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs one (1) through twenty-three (23) as if fully restated herein and further states as follows:

- 24. Defendants are both subject to, and each violated the provisions of, 47 United States Code, Section 227 (b)(1)(A) by using an ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV to call a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service without Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 25. At no time herein did Defendants possess consent to call Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone using an ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV.
- 26. Despite lacking Plaintiff's prior express consent, MCM, on MF's behalf—and with MF's consent, knowledge, and approval—used and ATDS, a PTDS, or an APV to make calls to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone *at least* six (6) times a day from approximately 2012 through 2013.
- 27. The phone calls MCM made to Plaintiff on MF's behalf—and with MF's consent, knowledge, and approval—are the result of a repeated willful and knowing violation of the TCPA.
 - 28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered:
 - a. The periodic loss of her cellular telephone service;
 - b. Lost material costs associated with the use of peak time cellular telephone minutes allotted under her cellular telephone service contract; and
 - c. Emotional distress, anxiety, loss of sleep, and deterioration of relationships, both personal and professional, as a result of the repeated willful and knowing calls placed in violation of the TCPA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff respectfully requests entry of:

Judgment against Defendants declaring that Defendants violated the TCPA; a.

Judgment enjoining Defendants from making further autodialed phone calls b.

to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone;

Judgment for Plaintiff against Defendants awarding the greater of actual c.

damages or statutory damages in the amount of \$500.00 for each of Defendants' telephone

calls to Plaintiff's Cellular Telephone in violation of the TCPA;

d. Judgment for Plaintiff against Defendants awarding treble damages in the

amount of an additional \$1,000.00 for each telephone call made to the Plaintiff's Cellular

Telephone in violation of the TCPA where Defendants acted knowingly and/or willfully;

and

Any other such relief the Court may deem proper. e.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by right.

SPOLIATION NOTICE AND DEMAND TO RETAIN EVIDENCE

Plaintiff hereby gives notice to Defendants and demands that Defendants and their affiliates safeguard all relevant evidence—paper, electronic documents, or data—pertaining to this litigation

as required by law.

Respectfully Submitted,

Joseph M. Adams, Esq., PBN 58430

bugh Ty Ush

Law Office of Joseph M. Adams 200 Highpoint Drive, Suite 211A

Chalfont, PA 18914

Phone: (215) 996-9977

Fax: (215) 996-9111

josephmadamsesq@verizon.net

and

Ian R. Leavengood, Esq., FBN 0010167
Aaron M. Swift, Esq., FBN 093088
Gregory H. Lercher, Esq., FBN 0106991
Sara J. Weiss, Esq., FBN 0115637
LeavenLaw, P.A.
Northeast Professional Center
3900 First Street North, Suite 100
St. Petersburg, FL 33703
Phone: (727) 327-3328
Fax: (727) 327-3305
consumerservice@leavenlaw.com
aswift@leavenlaw.com
glercher@leavenlaw.com
sweiss@leavenlaw.com
pro hac vice application forthcoming
Attorneys for Plaintiff